

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,343	07/14/2001	Anjali Chandnani	063170.6288	3770	
5073 BAKER BOTT	5073 7590 09/25/2008 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	VENUE		PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2137			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/905,343	CHANDNANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL PYZOCHA	2137	

	MICHAEL PYZOCHA	2137						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	s data of the final minetion							
		in the final rejection, whi	abouer is leter. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(26(a) and the appropriat	n aytoneian foa					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.736(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	11							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after a	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (10L-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii odbiliited iii d oopaldte, t	initing filed differience	it ouriseling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the co		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has bee allowance because:	n considered but does NOT place t	the application in cond	lition for					
See Continuation Sheet.	(BTO(CB)(00) B N-(-) 0/40/00							
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>9/19/08</u> 13. ☐ Other:								
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 09/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Yamamoto fails to teach or suggest analyzing the stream of tokens using the detection data and the language description data.

With respect to this argument, Yamamoto was merely relied upon for the teaching of analyzing a stream of tokens using detection data and language data to identify a virus, while Van De Vanter was relied upon for teaching lexically analyzing a stream fokens. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the analyzing of the tokens based on detection data (i.e. grammatical rules) and language data (i.e. validity of the vocabulary words) of Yamamoto to be done using lexical analysis as taught van De Vanter in order to detect changes in the stream of tokens (see Van De Vanter column 3 lines 22-30). Therefore, the combined references disclose analyzing the stream of tokens using the detection data and the language description data.